

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 FRANK PATRICK BIRCH, JR.,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 SHERIFF JOE LOMBARDO et al.,

11 Defendants.  
12

Case No. 2:16-cv-01051-RFB-CWH

13 Plaintiff has filed a motion to extend his copy work limit. (ECF No. 162). An inmate has  
14 no constitutional right to free photocopying. Johnson v. Moore, 948 F.2d 517, 521 (9th Cir. 1991).  
15 Pursuant to NDOC administrative regulation 722.01(7)(D), inmates “can only accrue a maximum  
16 of \$100 debt for copy work expenses for all cases, not per case.” In this district, courts have found  
17 that they can order a prison to provide limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to  
18 provide copies to the court and other parties. See Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr., 2:10-CV-00857-  
19 RLH, 2011 WL 886343, \*2 (D. Nev. Mar. 11, 2011). In this case, the Court grants Plaintiff’s  
20 request to extend his copy work account limit by another \$5.00.

21  
22 **I. CONCLUSION**

23 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s motion to extend his copy work account (ECF  
24 No. 162) is GRANTED in the amount of \$5.00. The Nevada Department of Corrections shall  
25 extend Plaintiff’s prison copy work limit by another \$5.00.

26 DATED this 24th day of May, 2018.

27 

28 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE